Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening



1

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Directorate: Children's Services	Service area: Capacity Planning and Sufficiency	
Lead person: Liz Lowes	Contact number: 2475793	
1. Title: Hollybush Primary School		
Is this a:		
Strategy / Policy Service / Function X Other		
If other, please specify Proposal to expand the school from a capacity of 420 pupils to 630 pupils with an increase in the admission number from 60 to 90 with effect from September 2016		
2. Please provide a brief description of	what you are screening	
To increase the reception intake at Hollybush Primary School, from 60 places to 90 places, increasing the school's capacity from 420 to 630, from September 2016. This will involve physically expanding the school.		

EDCI Screening Updated February 2011

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different		Х
equality characteristics?		
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?	X	
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?		Х
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		Х
 Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment Advancing equality of opportunity Fostering good relations 		Х

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to section 4.
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to section 5.

EDCI Screening Updated February 2011 2

4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).

• How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)

The proposal is to increase the number of places at Hollybush Primary School. The demographics of the area were considered when working up the proposal, such as the number of under 5's living nearest the school, parental preference trends, and projections, and it was concluded that additional capacity is required. The types of schools in the area were also considered, to ensure we adhere to our legal duty of ensuring parents are offered choice and diversity.

We consulted those affected on this proposal May/June 2014, including parents and prospective parents, governors and staff of the school and nearby schools, the diocese, Councillors, MPs and local residents. Those who responded supported the expansion of the school.

Key findings

(think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

Age – a further 30 reception places will be created in the Bramley area. If the proposal is agreed, the school will grow from reception upwards over a period of 7 years. Disability – any new accommodation will meet DDA guidelines. If the provision to establish extended partnership provision for West SILC goes ahead, additional provision for children with severe learning difficulties would be established. Postive impact on ensuring we promote choice and diversity.

Actions

(think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

If the proposal is taken forward, the extra places will be made available in reception from September 2016, parents will therefore have the option of applying for these places or choosing alternative schools.

During the consultation period, all views and responses will be considered equally.

EDCI Screening Updated February 2011 3

If you are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you will need to carry out an impact assessment.		
Date to scope and plan your impact assessment:		
Date to complete your impact assessment		
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)		

6. Governance, ownership and approval				
Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening				
Name	Job title	Date		
Viv Buckland	Head of Service, Strategic	17 June 2014		
	Development and			
	Investment			

7. Publishing

This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the screening document will need to be published.

Please send a copy to the Equality Team for publishing

Date screening completed	16 June 2014
If relates to a Key Decision send to Corporate Governance	17 June 2014
Any other decision please send to Equality Team (equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk)	

EDCI Screening Updated February 2011

4